

## The Next American Revolution.

### Prologue:

As a reminder, please find "Fourth of July Alert" attached. Currently, there are over 15,000 hits on Google News which are demonizing all political centrists and conservatives with a very broad brush while using the actions of one man as a pretense. In the "Fourth of July Alert," in April of 2009, which most of you have received, I warned you about the potential consequences of attempting to demonize the political right in America. You, the media and the political class in America, have already created the economic, social and political foundations for the scenarios that I describe in the enclosed monograph. In fact, variations of these scenarios are now certain to occur in America. They can no longer be avoided. As the economic, social, political and intellectual leaders of America, you, alone, bear the full guilt and responsibility for these outcomes. There is no one else to blame.

Please note that I have herein offered hundreds of references and resources and thousands of pages of material to support my assertions. These resources are from peer-reviewed materials and include work by PhD's, international experts, government websites and commentary and articles from both the media and the blogosphere.

You now have no excuse.

The warnings contained within "The Fourth of July Alert" remain in effect.

-wrb

====

## The Next American Revolution.

In February of 2009, Military.com conducted a poll regarding Lt. Easterling's objections over Obama's constitutional eligibility to be president. For anyone who is in possession of a few basic facts and has an un-biased knowledge of American and world history, the outcome was very revealing. Although the entire poll is worthy of examination, I want to bring the last number in the poll to your attention.

The argument has been made that if Obama is not Constitutionally qualified to be president, that we are no longer living in a constitutional democracy, let alone in a constitutional republic. Thus, the argument leads inevitably to one conclusion: we are effectively being ruled by a dictatorship. The social and political contracts are broken, null and void, and cannot be readily or peacefully repaired. In such a case, the only powers left to the government are those of force and of coercion. Without a unified standing military

and/or a unified and militarized police force, such a government has no effective power over the population. With the first premise in place, the second premise is one of mere historical reality. That is, any government that doesn't have a unified standing military to enforce its rule has little or no power over the citizens of the nation it governs.

In the un-scientific military.com poll, 37% of the respondents are currently 'uncommitted.' Once the violence begins, they will be forced to make a decision. Many of them will simply desert their posts and go home, as was the case in The Revolutionary War and in The Civil War. Others will leave their active-duty units, either legally or illegally, and join their home state's national guard units or create or join ad hoc militia units. Before all is said and done, I think that most of that 37% will jump on the Constitutional, anti-government bandwagon. That said, a significant percentage of that same 37% probably consist of 'don't rock the boat' personalities. Which direction any of them jumps will have a bearing on the potential outcomes of any peaceful or violent conflict that will arise as a result of the first two premises.

Also, when another civil war begins in America (historically, it is just a matter of time), expect most of the fifty states to recall their national guard units from any deployment, providing that those units are able to arrange sufficient transportation. Those members of the regular reserves will also be forced to make some difficult choices.

Keep well in mind that the people who operate military.com lean well to the left of the political center. As with the Marine Corps Times, The Army Times, etc., they are privately owned and operated. Their publishers, editors, and most of their contributors, are comprised of left-leaning journalists. They alone initiated this poll about Lt. Easterling, They framed the questions and bear responsibility for the results. Their questions were not based upon the known facts or upon the historical evidence. The results are interesting, even so.

Please note that the enlisted Enlisted Military Oath of Office states:  
"I, (NAME), do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies, foreign and domestic; that I will bear true faith and allegiance to the same; and that I will obey the orders of the President of the United States and the orders of the officers appointed over me, according to regulations and the Uniform Code of Military Justice. So help me God."

While the Oath of Office for commissioned officers states:  
"I, [name], do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies, foreign and domestic; that I will bear true faith and allegiance to the same; that I take this obligation freely, without any mental reservation or purpose of evasion; and that I will well and faithfully discharge the duties of the office on which I

am about to enter. So help me God."

Contrary to the implied statements in the poll questions, there is no legal military requirement to 'serve the nation,' nor, in the case of commissioned officers, is there any requirement to serve any sitting President. The primary requirement in both cases is to "...support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies, foreign and domestic..." That is not only their Oath of Office, that is the extent of their legal contract with the United States military and with the United States government. Once the United States government has legally and Constitutionally abrogated that contract, say, in the case of an un-Constitutional presidency or in issuing orders betraying the Constitution, the members of the military forces of America are no longer liable for the performance of their part of said contract. Their Oath and their contract is with the Constitution of the United States of America.

Similar scenarios apply with elected politicians and other public servants who are required to take an Oath and sign a contract to protect and defend The Constitution of the United States against all enemies, both foreign and domestic. Their Oaths and their contracts are with the Constitution of the United States of America or with their state constitutions. Thus, their contract is not with the government or with the nation as a whole. Their Oaths and their contracts are with The Constitution, alone.

Similar numbers as seen in the Lt. Easterling poll are seen in the PEW poll on political party 'self identity. I suspect the numbers for law enforcement officers and related federal and state government agencies are very similar to the military.com poll on Easterling. The pro-Constitution, pro-freedom, anti-big government numbers are slightly higher for military Veterans.

As just one example of many historical examples, I'll now refer to breakdowns of popular political beliefs during the American Revolution. I'm fairly certain that the numbers of Tories represented in the Wikipedia account are from the end of the war, not from the beginning. Other accounts state that in the early part of the American Revolution that approximately 30% of the people of the colonies supported the revolution while 30% were against it. The remaining 30% were apolitical or uncommitted and just wanted to be left the hell alone.

The British learned, as most empires and kingdoms have learned before and since, that those who wage a 'counter-insurgency' have a long row to hoe. If the insurgent strategy and tactics are properly implemented, and with 30% of the population actively promoting the insurgent cause, and with another 30% of the population remaining disengaged, the insurgent always has the advantage. General Petraeus has been the only successful counter-insurgent leader in recent history, but his success is even now being completely undone by the present America government.

This next item is where the present government of the US will make it's biggest mistake in dealing with Americans: over-reaction. This is the exact opposite of a successful strategy, let alone being a dishonorable, unethical and even un-Constitutional reaction to petitions from private citizens who are being ruled by any government. Over-reaction on the part of the government, whether it involves the use of armed force or of bureaucratic regulation, always leads to the eventual defeat and/or collapse of that government. This is a historical reality.

What the counter-insurgent, pro-government forces have to do in every historical case is to convince the general populace ("I'm from the government and I'm here to help.") to actively engage against the insurgent force. Otherwise, the only other options that remain to them are to commit genocide or to concede defeat. Before all is said and done, the present government in America will not be able to fully implement any of these options. During the last fifty years, whether Republicans or Democrats have been in power, this government always over-reacts. Always. The more they over-react, the more of the popular support they lose. Once they have less than 30% of the population ACTIVELY supporting them, they will - eventually - lose the 'counter-insurgency.'

If you are an 'insurgent,' and if 30% of the population is on your side, even if most of them are not actively engaged, and if 30% of the population just wants to stay the hell out of your way, all you have to do to defeat the counter-insurgency is to peacefully and diplomatically convince another 10%-20% of the population to either join you or to stay out of your way.

In a pro-government counter-insurgency, you need to have at least 35% of the population on your side from the beginning and to have them actively engaged in your cause. At the moment, in America, the pro-government 'counter-insurgency' does not have that kind of popular support. At the moment, even if we ignore the above examples, an average of 60% of the population are expressing anti-government opinions. Some polling data reveals that 80% of the American people are expressing anti-government sentiment and offer one or more reasons for having expressed that sentiment.

Even if (when) it comes to direct conflict in America resembling another civil war, I think a look at the numbers will tell us one thing: We liberty lovin' fools and 'right-wing extremists,' members of the currently peaceful 'insurgency,' have already triumphed. All we have to do is to follow through. For the moment, that means sharing information and commentary with the people you know...and making sure that they share it with others, and so on and so on.

As an 'insurgent,' always take the moral high ground. When it comes to dealing with those who are with you, or at least, who aren't actively against you, always be a good little 'Boy Scout.' i.e. Always do, and say, the right

things for the right reasons. Always engage those demographics in a positive and constructive fashion. The violence against the populace, as seen in The Vanguard Theory, is not a winning strategy or tactic for any insurgent. Such strategies on the part of counter-insurgents are always - eventually - a losing proposition.

In any case, both contemporary and ancient history, as well as Game Theory, indicate that 'Boy Scouts' who are freedom-lovin' fools, will - eventually - prevail over 'counter-insurgent' police states, dictators and tyrants. This is not only seen in American history, it is also apparent throughout world history.

Conclusion:

The Republican Party is no less an advocate for big government than is the Democrat Party. When in power, they govern exactly like their supposed ideological opponents. Switch one party for another in the seats of government power and you achieve the exact same results. It is only a matter of degree, not of outcomes. There is a growing majority within the American populace who are ever more aware of that fact.

It is now a binary choice. Yes/No. On/Off. One/Zero. If/Then.

You are either for big government and no personal freedom, or very limited personal freedom

- or -

You stand against the big government political class and openly promote individual freedom and liberty.

That is the dividing line.

Pick which side of that line you want to stand on. Logically, rationally and reasonably, there can be no middle ground.

The revolution has already begun.

S.  
Warren "Bones" Bonesteel  
Author and Researcher  
Sgt USMC 1976-1983  
55 Crestview Drive  
Rapid City, SD 57701  
(605) 348-2830  
wrsteel@blackhawke.net

=====

1.)

<http://tinyurl.com/npkcx4>

[http://www.military.com/pollresults?poll\\_frame\\_src=/votingpoll/vote.do&poll\\_id=4181&option=4243](http://www.military.com/pollresults?poll_frame_src=/votingpoll/vote.do&poll_id=4181&option=4243)

Should a Soldier serve if he doesn't believe the president is legitimate?

February 25, 2009

30% Yes, a Soldier takes an oath to serve the nation and President Obama was duly elected. 1st Lt. Easterling is just trying to get publicity and he should be court martialed.

32% If a Soldier in good conscience does not believe the president is constitutionally eligible to serve, he should not execute his duties and is right to protest the president's orders.

37% Easterling should continue to honor the dignity of his men by executing his orders as given. He should pursue other avenues to challenge the legitimacy of the president. 4,181 total votes.

This poll is not scientific and reflects the opinions of only those Internet users who have chosen to participate.

=====

2.)

<http://tinyurl.com/mr8suy>

[http://www.uslaw.com/library/Obama\\_Citizenship/Leo\\_Donofrio\\_1st\\_Lt\\_Easterling\\_Defy\\_Direct\\_Order.php?item=394105](http://www.uslaw.com/library/Obama_Citizenship/Leo_Donofrio_1st_Lt_Easterling_Defy_Direct_Order.php?item=394105)

Obama Citizenship: The Right Side of Life

Leo Donofrio on 1st Lt. Easterling: He Did Not Defy Any Direct Order.

=====

3.)

<http://thetalon.us/?p=391>

A Star Signs On With Lt Easterling; Sen. Shelby Has Not Seen The BC

By concretebob

February 28, 2009

=====

4.)

<http://www.wnd.com/index.php?fa=PAGE.view&pageId=97493>

WND Exclusive

BORN IN THE USA?

Eligibility attorney plans return to Supreme Court

Says, 'I will be filing until I get an answer'

May 09, 2009

=====

5.)

<http://americangrandjury.org/>

Grand Jury Recommends: Indict Barry Soetoro aka Barack Obama

=====

6.)

<http://wnd.com/index.php?fa=PAGE.view&pageId=90125>

Major General says president's eligibility needs proof

'Most important, what I really want is the truth'

February 26, 2009

=====

7.)

<http://jaghunters.blogspot.com/2009/03/tuesday-17-march-2009-to-mr.html>

CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS REGARDING THE COMMISSION OF TREASON

=====

8.)

<http://www.obamacitizenshipdebate.org/>

Complete information on the constitutional eligibility of Barack Obama to serve as President of the United States

=====

9.)

<http://drorly.blogspot.com/>

A DISCUSSION OF THE OBAMA ELIGIBILITY CONTROVERSY, WITH A CALL TO ACTION!

=====

10.)

<http://www.worldnetdaily.com/index.php?fa=PAGE.view&pageId=92835>

BORN IN THE USA?

Federal criminal complaint contends Obama ineligible

Ex-officer alleges prez used 'contrivance, concealment, dissembling and deceit'

March 25, 2009

By Bob Unruh

=====

11.)

<http://tinyurl.com/ljosj5>

[http://www.blogtalkradio.com/Sentinel\\_Radio/2009/05/29/The-Mark-S-McGrew-Show-Philip-J-Berg-Esquire](http://www.blogtalkradio.com/Sentinel_Radio/2009/05/29/The-Mark-S-McGrew-Show-Philip-J-Berg-Esquire)

Philip J Berg Esquire

AMERICA'S GREATEST CON MAN

Obama Eligibility

Mark S McGrew

=====

12.)

<http://www.worldnetdaily.com/index.php?fa=PAGE.view&pageId=99301>

Media find eligibility billboard campaign irresistible

'Where's the birth certificate?' effort covered by London Times

May 26, 2009

=====

13.)

<http://www.riseupforamerica.com/>

Attn: Barry Soetoro AKA Barack Hussein Obama

RE: Criminal Charges and Grand Jury Presentments

=====

14.)

<http://www.newswithviews.com/Stuter/stuter159.htm>

WHAT CAN WE DO?

By Lynn Stuter

May 19, 2009

NewsWithViews.com

The evidence concerning the eligibility of Also Known As (AKA) Obama to the office of president is really quite simple.

=====

15.

<http://tinyurl.com/nl93ws>

[http://www.thirdworldtraveler.com/Chalmers\\_Johnson/Imperial\\_Pathologies\\_N.html](http://www.thirdworldtraveler.com/Chalmers_Johnson/Imperial_Pathologies_N.html)

Comparative Imperial Pathologies: Rome, Britain, and America  
excerpted from the book

Nemesis

The Last Days of the American Republic

by Chalmers Johnson

Holt, 2006, paperback

=====

16.)

<http://www.covenantnews.com/bonesteel090515.htm>

On Proper Government in a Republic.

Warren "Bones" Bonesteel

=====

17.)

<http://oll.libertyfund.org/>

The Colonial Perspective: Ancient and Medieval - Trevor Colbourn, The Lamp of Experience [1965]

=====



18.)

'We Are All Enemies of the State.'

<http://tinyurl.com/cukp9f>

<http://coyoteprime-runningcauseicantfly.blogspot.com/2009/05/enemies-of-state-yes-you.html>

=====

19.)

'Economic Depression and Systemic Collapse in America'

<http://tinyurl.com/cmzt92>

<http://news.kontentkonsult.com/2009/02/readers-resources-on-systemic-collapse.html>

<http://www.endoftheamericancentury.blogspot.com/>

<http://tinyurl.com/9we7v5>

=====

20.)

Open Letter to American Politicians: On "Right-Wing Extremists"

<http://tinyurl.com/dcjmhk>

<http://swampbubbles.com/20090430/open-letter-american-politicians-right-wing-extremists>

<http://tinyurl.com/d93gm8>

<http://truth11.wordpress.com/2009/04/30/open-letter-to-american-politicians-act-now-for-freedom-and-liberty/>

<http://www.squidoo.com/coming-to-America>

=====

21.)

'Beyond Conspiracy: Police State America'

<http://tinyurl.com/cdv9pt>

<http://truth11.wordpress.com/2009/04/02/beyond-conspiracy-police-state-america-s-warren-bones-bonesteel-author-and-researcher/>

=====

22.)

'We Are All Enemies of the State.'

<http://tinyurl.com/cukp9f>

<http://coyoteprime-runningcauseicantfly.blogspot.com/2009/05/enemies-of-state-yes-you.html>

=====

23.)

see also: Playlist under "Police State America."

<http://www.youtube.com/user/wrb1957>

=====

24.)

<http://www.addisonindependent.com/node/978>

Rep. Fisher's Bill would recall Vt. Guard from Iraq  
Syndicate content  
by Addison Independent  
January 31, 2008

=====

25.)

[http://kansasstatutes.lesterama.org/Chapter\\_48/Article\\_17/](http://kansasstatutes.lesterama.org/Chapter_48/Article_17/)

The governor of a party state may withhold the national guard forces of his state from such use and recall any forces or part or member thereof previously deployed in a requesting state.

=====

26.)

<http://www.tenthamentcenter.com/2009/05/31/wisconsin-ab203-national-guard-constitution/>

AB203 requires the governor to examine every federal order that places the Wisconsin national guard on federal active duty to determine if that order is lawful and valid. If the governor determines that the federal order is not lawful or valid, the bill requires the governor to take appropriate action, which may include commencing legal action in state or federal court, to prevent the Wisconsin national guard from being placed on federal active duty.

=====

27.)

<http://www.journalism.org/node/2304>

If newsrooms have moved slightly rightward, the research shows, however, that journalists are still more liberal than their audiences.

=====

28.)

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Media\\_bias](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Media_bias)

Media bias

=====

29.)

<http://atpco.com/>

Army Times Publishing Company is a Gannett subsidiary. It publishes newsweeklies widely read by United States military people and their families, federal employees and defense and aerospace industry leaders worldwide.

=====

30.)

<http://www.cato.org/pubs/journal/cj20n3/cj20n3-7.pdf>

Can the Media Be So Liberal? The Economics of Media Bias

=====

31.)

<http://www.history.army.mil/faq/oaths.htm>

=====

32.)

<http://usmilitary.about.com/od/joiningthemilitary/a/oathofenlist.htm>

=====

33.)

<http://people-press.org/report/517/political-values-and-core-attitudes>  
May 21, 2009

Independents Take Center Stage in Obama Era

Trends in Political Values and Core Attitudes: 1987-2009

"Taking an average of surveys conducted this year, 36% say they are independents, 35% are Democrats, while 23% are Republicans."

=====

34.)

<http://www.gallup.com/poll/118684/Military-Veterans-Ages-Tend-Republican.aspx>

For the entire adult population, 34% of veterans and those currently on active military service are Republican, compared to 26% of those who are not veterans, while 29% of veterans identify themselves as Democrats, compared to 38% of those who are not veterans. (Thirty-three percent of veterans are independents, compared to 29% of nonveterans.)

=====

35.)

[www.patriotsforamerica.ning.com](http://www.patriotsforamerica.ning.com)

Colonel Riley

=====

36.)

See a video of what an active-duty Marine has to say:

<http://tinyurl.com/cywqbg>

<http://www.ignatius-piazza-front-sight.com/2009/03/02/patriot-or-traitor/>  
Patriot or Traitor?

March 2nd, 2009

=====

37.)

<http://www.oath-keepers.blogspot.com/>

Oath Keepers is a non-partisan association of currently serving military, reserves, National Guard, peace officers, and veterans who swore an oath to support and defend the Constitution against all enemies, foreign and domestic and meant it.

=====

38.)

<http://tinyurl.com/avg84n>

<http://westernrifleshooters.blogspot.com/2009/02/winds-of-civil-war-illinois-driven.html>

The Winds Of Civil War: Illinois-Driven Marxist Attempt To Conquer Union Threatened With Military Challenges

By Pat Dollard

February 22nd, 2009

=====

39.)

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Loyalist\\_\(American\\_Revolution\)](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Loyalist_(American_Revolution))

Loyalists in the Thirteen Colonies

Historian Robert Calhoun wrote concerning the number of loyalists and patriots:

"Historians' best estimates put the proportion of adult white male loyalists somewhere between 15 and 20 percent. Approximately half the colonists of European ancestry tried to avoid involvement in the struggle, some of them deliberate pacifists, others recent emigrants, and many more simple apolitical folk. The patriots received active support from perhaps 40 to 45 percent of the white populace, and at most no more than a bare majority.

Earlier estimates were somewhat higher, reaching one-third of the population, but are no longer accepted by most scholars. Adams did indeed estimate in another letter, that same year, that in the American Revolution, the Patriots had to struggle against approximately one-third of the population, while they themselves constituted about two-thirds of it. He made no mention of neutrals. In the late 1960s Paul H. Smith arrived at the lower figure of 19.8% by statistical calculations based on the strength of the loyalist regiments fighting for the British."

=====

40.)

see also:

<http://www.realclearpolitics.com/polls/>

- and -

<http://tinyurl.com/5krqjz>

[http://www.rasmussenreports.com/public\\_content/politics/obama\\_administration/obama\\_approval\\_index\\_history](http://www.rasmussenreports.com/public_content/politics/obama_administration/obama_approval_index_history)

Strongly Approve - 37%

Strongly Disapprove - 28%

Total Approve - 59%

Total Disapprove - 41%

=====

41.)

<http://tinyurl.com/cfcsu2>

[http://www.rasmussenreports.com/public\\_content/politics/general\\_politics2/60\\_s](http://www.rasmussenreports.com/public_content/politics/general_politics2/60_s)

ay\_government\_has\_too\_much\_power\_too\_much\_money  
60% Say Government Has Too Much Power, Too Much Money  
April 21, 2009

=====

42.)

<http://tinyurl.com/nnsugs>

[http://www.realclearpolitics.com/Presidential\\_04/direction\\_of\\_country.html](http://www.realclearpolitics.com/Presidential_04/direction_of_country.html)

RealClearPolitics Poll Average. Right Track-Wrong Track/Direction of Country

=====

43.)

<http://tinyurl.com/c7grm9>

[http://www.rasmussenreports.com/public\\_content/politics/general\\_politics2/51\\_view\\_tea\\_parties\\_favorably\\_political\\_class\\_strongly\\_disagrees](http://www.rasmussenreports.com/public_content/politics/general_politics2/51_view_tea_parties_favorably_political_class_strongly_disagrees)

51% View Tea Parties Favorably, Political Class Strongly Disagrees

April 20, 2009

Fifty-one percent (51%) of Americans have a favorable view of the tea parties held nationwide last week, including 32% who say their view of the events is Very favorable.

Thirty-three percent (33%) hold an unfavorable opinion of the tea parties according to a new Rasmussen Reports national telephone survey. Fifteen percent (15%) are not sure.

=====

44.)

<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Counter-insurgency>

=====

45.)

<http://tinyurl.com/lyyjll>

[http://www.globalsecurity.org/military/library/policy/army/fm/7-98/F798\\_3.htm](http://www.globalsecurity.org/military/library/policy/army/fm/7-98/F798_3.htm)

(1) To increase the population's vulnerability through the use of selective terrorism. The guerrilla attacks or destroys economic and political symbols upon which the government is founded. Overreaction by government forces or other authorities adds to the population's resentment toward the government and its support to the insurgency.

=====

46.)

<http://www.cryptome.org/cuw.htm>

Unrestricted Warfare

Qiao Liang and Wang Xiangsui

(Beijing: PLA Literature and Arts Publishing House, February 1999)

Unrestricted Warfare, by Qiao Liang and Wang Xiangsui (Beijing: PLA Literature and Arts Publishing House, February 1999)

=====

47.)

<http://www.chinapage.com/sunzi-e.html>

SUN TZU ON THE ART OF WAR

THE OLDEST MILITARY TREATISE IN THE WORLD

Translated from the Chinese

By LIONEL GILES, M.A. (1910)

=====

48.)

<http://www.rationalreview.com/content/882>

Notes on building a theory of revolution

by Brad Spangler,

09.13.05

=====

49.)

<http://tinyurl.com/kq9hxc>

[http://ocw.mit.edu/NR/rdonlyres/Economics/14-126Fall-2004/BE846780-A44C-455D-90BA-40945EEC6928/0/problem\\_set\\_4.pdf](http://ocw.mit.edu/NR/rdonlyres/Economics/14-126Fall-2004/BE846780-A44C-455D-90BA-40945EEC6928/0/problem_set_4.pdf)

Game Theory

Haluk Ergin and Muhamet Yildiz

=====

50.)

<http://www.usma.edu/dmi/iwmsgs/07-07-castlesofsand.pdf>

IW Message of the Month

July 2007

Castles Made of Sand

Leites and Wolf An Alternative Approach: Insurgency as a System

=====

51.)

<http://faculty.nps.edu/gbradley/InsurgencyFramework/>

Insurgency Frameworks

=====

52.)

<http://www.rationalreview.com/content/882>

Notes on building a theory of revolution

by Brad Spangler,

09.13.05

=====

53.)

[http://lilacrose.nu/archives/2008/10/fighting\\_a\\_cold.php](http://lilacrose.nu/archives/2008/10/fighting_a_cold.php)

<http://eddriscoll.com/archives/012095.php>

<http://www.pajamasmedia.com/instapundit/archives2/025600.php>  
<http://eddriscoll.com/archives/014127.php>  
Dispatches From The Cold Civil War  
Liberal Fascism  
The Future and its Enemies  
The Making of the President  
By Ed Driscoll  
October 11, 2008

=====

54.)  
<http://spectator.org/archives/2009/05/15/obama-the-destroyer>  
The American Spectator  
Obama the Destroyer  
By Quin Hillyer  
5.15.09

=====

55)  
<http://canadafreepress.com/index.php/article/10743>  
Big Brother Obama is affecting to destroy the USA?s Republic  
Obama to Ensure Constitutional USA Does Not Return  
Sher Zieve

=====

56.)  
<http://canadafreepress.com/index.php/article/10784>  
Freedom and Liberty run in our blood!  
The President Who Hates His Country  
By Joan Swirsky  
May 2, 2009

=====

57.)  
<http://tinyurl.com/bdtca9>  
[http://www.alternet.org/workplace/124836/the\\_whole\\_world\\_is\\_rioting\\_as\\_the\\_economic\\_crisis\\_worsens\\_-\\_why\\_aren't\\_we/](http://www.alternet.org/workplace/124836/the_whole_world_is_rioting_as_the_economic_crisis_worsens_-_why_aren't_we/)  
The Whole World Is Rioting as the Economic Crisis Worsens -- Why Aren't We?  
By Joshua Holland  
AlterNet.  
February 3, 2009.

=====

58.)  
<http://lucianne.com/thread/?artnum=455306>  
<http://canadafreepress.com/index.php/article/8993>  
Restore our Constitution  
By JB Williams

March 3, 2009

====59.)

<http://mwcnews.net/content/view/30768&Itemid=1>

THE THREAT TO AMERICA

By Archie Kennedy

=====

60.)

<http://tinyurl.com/cvrgfo>

<http://www.american.com/archive/2009/april-2009/the-coming-of-the-fourth-american-republic>

The Coming of the Fourth American Republic

By James V. DeLong

April 21, 2009

=====

61.)

<http://qbsblog.wordpress.com/2009/03/24/high-crimes-and-misdemeanors/>

High Crimes and Misdemeanors

MARCH 24, 2009

by qb

The standard for impeachment, says the Constitution, is an amalgamation of amorphous legal concepts that ought to chill any executive who gives thought to engaging in anything remotely resembling them?that is, if the executive in question has a constitutional conscience.

=====

62.)

<http://www.worldnetdaily.com/index.php?fa=PAGE.printable&pageId=90481>

JEROME CORSI PREDICTS : THERE WILL BE BLOOD

March 04, 2009

=====

63.)

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=46MEqEgdLTg>

YouTube - Fox Business: Gerald Celente Predicts Revolution

=====

64.)

<http://www.islamiswar.org/russian-warns-america-obama-marxism>

A RUSSIAN WARNS AMERICA OF OBAMA MARXISM

23 May 2009

=====

65.)

<http://www.presstv.com/detail.aspx?id=86350&sectionid=3510203>



Brzezinski warns of riots in US

21 Feb 2009

Former US national security advisor Zbigniew Brzezinski warns of riots should the economy continues to hurt Americans.

=====

66.)

<http://www.tenthamentcenter.com/2009/02/23/state-sovereignty-resolutions/>

State Sovereignty Resolutions:

=====

67.)

<http://tinyurl.com/cfbvdq>

<http://www.opednews.com/articles/Firestorm-Brewing-Between-by-Lance-L-Landon-090217-130.html>

Firestorm Brewing Between U.S. States and Federal Government

by Lance L. Landon

February 19, 2009

[www.opednews.com](http://www.opednews.com)

=====

68.)

Top Trends of 2009

<http://www.trendsresearch.com/journal.html>

=====

69.)

<http://tinyurl.com/8o9l37>

<http://smargus.com/2009/01/second-american-revolution-to-begin-in-2009/>

Second American Revolution to Begin in 2009?

by: Allison Bricker

January 13, 2009

=====

70.)

<http://tinyurl.com/dl9noz>

<http://libertarianalliance.wordpress.com/2009/02/17/sean-gabb-speech-to-conservative-future/>

Free Life Commentary,

A Personal View from

The Director of the Libertarian Alliance

Issue Number 181

16th February 2009

Linking url: <http://www.seangabb.co.uk/flcomm/flc181.htm>

Text of a Speech to Conservative Future,

Given in The Old Star Public House, Westminster,

16th February 2009  
by Sean Gabb

=====

71.)

<http://tinyurl.com/kkcz9t>

[http://www.rasmussenreports.com/public\\_content/business/economic\\_stimulus\\_package/31\\_say\\_stimulus\\_package\\_helped\\_27\\_say\\_it\\_hurt\\_economy](http://www.rasmussenreports.com/public_content/business/economic_stimulus_package/31_say_stimulus_package_helped_27_say_it_hurt_economy)

31% Say Stimulus Package Helped, 27% Say It Hurt Economy  
Monday, June 01, 2009

=====

72.)

<http://tinyurl.com/lk3vyw>

<http://plaintruthmagazine.blogspot.com/2009/06/next-american-revolution.html>

Fourth of July Alert.pdf